

Universidad Mayor de San Andrés Facultad de Ingeniería

REVISTA INDUSTRIAL 4.0 Edición Digital Nro. 2 Mayo 2021

Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial



UNIVERSIDAD MAYOR DE SAN ANDRÉS FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA CARRERA DE INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL

Lic. Oscar Heredia Phd. Maria Garcia Moreno Ing. Martin Mayori Machicao Ing. Freddy Gutiérrez Barea Ing. Franz Zenteno Benitez Rector Vicerectora Decano Facultad de Ingeniería ViceDecano Facultad de Ingeniería Director de Carrera Ingeniería Industrial

Revista Industrial 4.0 Edicion Digital Nº 2 Mayo 2021

Comite Editor: Ing. Fernando Sanabria Camacho Ing. Grover Sanchez Eid Ing. Mario Zenteno Benitez

Diseño Versión Impresa & Web: Ing. Enrique Orosco Crespo

Imprenta: Walking Graf

Deposito Legal: 4-3-68-20

Web: http://industrial.umsa.bo/revista-industrial-4.0 Email: revistaindustrial4.0@umsa.bo

Direccion: Av. Mcal. Santa Cruz, Plaza Del Obelisco. Mezzanine, Edificio Facultad de Ingeniería. Tel. 2205000 - 2205067 Int. 1402

PRESENTACIÓN

Presentar el segundo número de la Revista Industrial 4.0 me llena de orgullo, ya que se plasma en realidad un objetivo que tiene la Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial de la Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, que es visibilizar los trabajos de investigación que desarrollan profesionales y estudiantes de los diferentes niveles académicos - licenciatura, diplomados, maestría y doctorado que están bajo su administración académica.

La integración entre la formación académica de pre y pos grado con la investigación a través de los tres institutos de la Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial es indispensable para una formación integral de los profesionales graduados en la UMSA, sin dejar de lado la extensión universitaria; las tres actividades permiten que se desarrollen trabajos de pesquisa pertinentes a la actualidad, y que son difundidos al público en general a través de la presente publicación en sus formatos impreso y digital.



Ing. MBA. Franz José Zenteno Benitez DIRECTOR CARRERA DE INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL

En los tiempos que vivimos bajo restricciones nunca antes vistas, pero que son sobrellevadas gracias a la tecnología de comunicación disponible -no equitativa en todos los niveles socio económicos- permite seguir con actividades de investigación científica y de aplicación tecnológica por parte de aquellos profesionales y estudiantes que encuentran, en los momentos de crisis, una oportunidad para presentar soluciones aplicables a problemas latentes en las empresas productivas y de servicios de diferente índole.

En este número se incrementó a doce el número de artículos publicados, como resultado de una importante cantidad de propuestas que hicieron llegar los investigadores atendiendo la convocatoria realizada. Destacar que tres artículos corresponden a los proyectos de grado, que desarrollaron estudiantes junto con sus tutores, en áreas diversas de la formación de un ingeniero industrial.

Agradecer el trabajo desarrollado por los profesionales que conforman el Comité Editor a partir de la lectura inicial de los artículos propuestos y la revisión final de presentaron algunas observaciones.

Reiterar el compromiso para seguir en este camino de publicaciones por parte de la Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial; en tal sentido, invitar a todos los profesionales y estudiantes que deseen divulgar sus trabajos de investigación, estar atentos al nuevo llamado para proponer sus temas ante el Comité Editor de la presente revista.

Ing. MBA. Franz José Zenteno Benítez DIRECTOR INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL



DEVELOPING INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS WITH TASK-BASED PROBLEM SOLVING ADAPTERS¹

Ph. D Jesuk Ko, ORCID: 0000-0002-0577-8589 Profesor Visitante, Ingeniería Industrial, UMSA jesuko361@gmail.com

Univ. Danitza Aracely Marconi Choque ORCID: 0000-0001-8403-0503 Ingeniería Industrial, UMSA arita1you@gmail.com

Presentado: 30 de abril; aprobado: 31 de mayo

Abstract: In this paper through the deductive method, we describe Task-Based Problem Solving Adapters (TPSAs), for modelling a human solution (through activity-centered analysis) to a software solution (in form of computer-based artefact). TPSAs are derived from the problem solving pattern or consistent problem solving structures/strategies employed by practitioners while designing solutions to complex problems. The adapters developed by us lead toward human-centeredness in their design and underpinning that help us to address the pragmatic task constraints through a range of technologies like neural networks, fuzzy logic, and genetic algorithms. We also outline an example of applying the TPSAs to develop a working system for assisting sales engineers of an electrical manufacturing firm in preparing indent and monitoring the status of orders in the company.

Resumen: En este artículo a través del método deductivo, describimos los Adaptadores de resolución de problemas basados en tareas (TPSAs) para modelar una solución humana (a través del análisis centrado en la actividad) a una solución de software (en forma de artefacto basado en computadora). Los TPSA se derivan del patrón de resolución de problemas o de estructuras / estrategias consistentes de resolución de problemas empleadas por los profesionales al diseñar soluciones a problemas complejos. Los adaptadores desarrollados por nosotros conducen hacia el centrado en el ser humano en su diseño y sustentación que nos ayudan a abordar las limitaciones de la tarea pragmática a través de una variedad de tecnologías como

¹ This study has received partial supports from National Research Foundation of Korea, through the World Friends Korea – Techno Peace Corps' fund in 2021.

Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial

Facultad de Ingeniería

Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

redes neuronales, lógica difusa y algoritmos genéticos. También describimos un ejemplo de aplicación de los TPSA para desarrollar un sistema de trabajo para ayudar a los ingenieros de ventas de una empresa de fabricación eléctrica a preparar la sangría y monitorear el estado de los pedidos en la empresa.

Keywords:

task-based ontology, problem solving adapters, intelligent systems, humancenteredness

1. Introduction

A numbers of problem solving approaches currently in place (e.g. Generic Task [1], Components of Expertise [2], KADS and CommonKADS [3, 4], MIKE [5]). Although these approaches are somewhat different in their underlying philosophy, yet, they share common principles in problem solving process. These common ground include [6]: a): decomposition the entire reasoning task into more elementary inferences; b) determining the types of knowledge that are needed by the inference steps to be done; and c) defining control and knowledge flow between the inferences.

More recently, the concept of adapter pattern has been introduced to solve particular recurring design problems of large-scale and complex software systems [7]. These adapters facilitate the linkages on design-level of objects that differ in their syntactical input and output descriptions. Inspired by this concept, [8] employed adapters and applied them in the context of problem solving. The attempt is to use adapter for adjusting the tasks, methods, and domain model to the specific application problem. This is for the purpose of reusable components of knowledge-based systems. Apparently, these existing approaches demonstrate excellent bodies of work in knowledge based system area. Nevertheless, some crucial issues still have been left unclarified. For example, it is not clear as to how such approaches account for external (perceptual) representations in problem solving, epistemological limitations that humans and computers have, and pragmatic constraints associated with real world problems. Further, none of them provides insight into how to deal with the complexity of large-scale real world problems. That is to what extent applications developed by using these problem-solving methods or ontologies will be scalable, evolvable and

maintainable. In addition, most of the above approaches are embedded in the knowledge based system technology. They do not adequately address the pragmatic task constraints like noisy and imprecise data, which are satisfied by other technologies like neural networks, fuzzy logic, and genetic algorithms. A lack of this consideration has resulted in unsatisfactory results (in terms of satisfaction of constraints and quality of solution) from implementation of these problem-solving methods in the field.

This paper addresses a task-based problem solving adapters – adapters that are used for modelling user's or practitioner's task, domain and representation ontology in a specific domain. The adapters developed by us are human-centered in their design and underpinnings. That is, they are derived from the problem solving pattern or consistent problem solving structures/strategies employed by practitioners while designing solutions to complex problems like image processing, alarm processing, sales management and medical diagnosis. They facilitate use of perceptual (external) as well as conceptual (internal) representations for problem solving as advocated by the distributed cognitive science approach [9]. It constrains the perceptual and conceptual representations of the environment in the context of problem and task being studied with the help of five information processing phases.

2. Perspectives of Problem Solving Patterns

This section outlines a wide spectrum of issues related to problem solving and artificial intelligence in general. These different perspectives form the imperative part for a foundation of the Task-Based Problem Solving Adapters (TPSAs). Inspired by the work done of [10, 11], our approach has incorporated various perspectives related to problem solving and artificial intelligence. These perspectives include human-centeredness, neurobiological control, cognitive science, man-made physical systems, learning, conscious and automated behavior, knowledge representation, and others (see [12] for more details). Some of them are worth to be mentioned here.

From a neurobiological perspective, the highly connected and parallel design of the brain allows it to work on many different things at once (e.g. vision and speech). The brain, with its parallel design, is able to work on lots of different external stimuli/items of information in a distributed form and able to process these items of information in a

parallel manner. This makes us intuitively feel that the complex real world problems that we are trying to solve are immensely parallel ones. Besides, research into the functional principles of the brain indicates that it exercises central and hierarchical control at different levels (sensory, motor, etc.) to provide both stability and adaptability. In addition, investigations into the visual nervous system of mammals reveal that the visual system works at different levels of abstraction. The underlying principles of abstraction and hierarchical control of the human brain form an intrinsic part of manmade systems like power systems, organizational systems, manufacturing process control systems, telecommunication systems, etc. For example, in power systems, the power network is hierarchical decomposed into transmission, sub-transmission and distribution levels. The structure of these systems influences their behavior. Humans, while reasoning with these systems engage in structural and/or behavioral decomposition of the problem and perceive the solution process at different levels of abstraction.

From a knowledge representation perspective, knowledge can be broadly represented in three forms namely, sub-symbolic, symbolic and non-formal, and symbolic and formal. Sub-symbolic knowledge can be attributed to micro features of a particular concept or a set of concepts in a particular domain which necessarily cannot be articulated in terms of rules (e.g. pattern recognition), sometimes known as tacit knowledge and may involve parallel processing for inference. Symbolic and non-formal knowledge can be seen as knowledge represented by heuristic/rules that are an outcome of experience of the human expert and generally cannot be formalized in a rigorous fashion (e.g. heuristic taught by a piano teacher to a student). Symbolic and formal knowledge can be seen as knowledge represented by a more vigorous formalism (e.g. physics, mathematics, etc.) such as а mathematical/ structural/behavioral model or formal logic representation. A particular application can use the above three forms of knowledge individually or in different combinations. All these forms of knowledge have also associated with them different levels of granularity ranging from coarse to fine-grain knowledge.

From human-centeredness perspective, human form an important part of solution to most artificial intelligent problems. Hence, it is essential that any systems, which are derived out of artificial intelligent methodologies, should result in reducing the cognitive barriers between human and computers. Without cognitive compatibility the followings may turn out: a) the system's behavior can appear surprising and unfriendly to user; b) effective interpretation of user's or expert's problem solving behavior is at risk which may result in unsatisfactory performance.

3. Problem Solving Adapters Ontology

Ontology is introduced as a term in philosophy to refer to the science of fundamental principles: the doctrine of the categories. In artificial intelligent, the term often come to mean a representation vocabulary, typically specialized to some technology, domain or subject matter [13]. The term ontology used in this paper is conformed to this view, except that we focus on upper ontology describing generic knowledge that holds across many domains.

The problem solving adapters can be thought of as a unit that facilitates transformation mechanism of a human solution (obtained through activity-centered analysis) to software solution (in form of a computer-based artefact). The use of adapters aims primarily on adjusting the impedance mismatch (in terms of representation vocabulary) between the two different domains.

Based on the different perspective described in previous section, the TPSA is established on the five information processing phases [10], namely, Pre-processing Phase, Decomposition Phase, Control Phase, Decision Phase, and Post processing Phase. These five information phases represent the problem solving pattern or ontology being employed by human while designing solutions to a delegated task. The description of problem solving vocabulary of the five problem solving adapters is classified as follows:

- Information Processing Phase a distinct step or event in problem solving.
- Goal a desire or desired outcome or state.
- *Task* is a goal directed process, which people consciously or unconsciously engage in.
- *Task Constraints* are pragmatic constraints imposed by the stakeholders and the environment for successful accomplishment of a task

- Represented features are the conceptual and perceptual features of artefacts in a domain. Conceptual features a.re perceptual categories (e.g. high temperature, low temperature), which can have binary, structured, fuzzy or continuous values. Perceptual categories are derived from perceptual features. Perceptual feature is a stable signature in a raw sensory signal.
- *Representing dimension* is the physical or abstract dimension used to represent conceptual or perceptual features.
- *Psychological Scale* is the abstract measurement property of the physical or abstract dimension of a represented feature, types of scales including nominal, ordinal, interval and ratio.
- *Knowledge Engineering Strategy* is a plan to select whether hard or soft methods are employed, depending upon the availability of domain knowledge.
- *Methods* are ways of accomplishing a task. They can be of computational or algorithmic methods as well as perceptual or non-perceptual algorithmic methods.

3.1 Pre-processing Phase Adapter

The main goal of the Pre-processing Adapter is to improve data quality so that they are suitable for processing. The tasks involved in this phase include, for example, noise filtering and input conditioning (e.g. input formatting, dimensionality reduction). Since the task like noise filtering is heuristic in nature. The represented features in the domain can be qualitative/linguistic (e.g. binary, structured and fuzzy or continuous). For example, in alarm processing problem, alarm may be filtered based on its existence (binary), based on multiple occurrences of it or based on the topology of the network (structured). Further, fuzzy variables (e.g. adjectives in a natural language query) may be used to eliminate particular type of queries. In domain like signal processing Fast Fourier Transforms are applied on continuous numeric data. The represented features can be analyzed based on the nominal, ordinal, interval or ration scales. These psychological scales have been used to derive perceptual and conceptual semantics of real world objects.

The perceptual dimensions on which the psychological scales are applied could be shape (e.g. eliminating noisy energy consumption profiles based on shape), distance (e.g. suppressing sympathetic alarms emerging from parts of network beyond certain threshold distance from the faulty component), color, etc. Top down or bottom up knowledge engineering strategy can be assigned in this phase depending upon the availability of domain knowledge for accomplishing a task. In case the domain knowledge is available, the top down strategy is adopted resulting in a hard computing method like a symbolic rule based system. Otherwise, the bottom up strategy is employed resulting in use of soft computing methods like neural networks or genetic algorithms for accomplishing a given task. Figure 1 summarizes the construct of the pre-processing phase adapter.

Phase:	Reprocessing	
Goal:	Improve data quality	
	A. Noise filtering	
Tasks:	B. Input conditioning e.g. dimensionality	
	reduction, Data transforming, Input formatting	
Tack Constraints:	A. Domain / application dependent	
Task Constraints.	B. Reliability	
Baproported Fastures	Quantitative/Linguistic - Binary, Structured, Fuzzy	
Represented reatures.	Non - Linguistic – Continuous	
Psychological Scales:	Nominal, Ordinal, Interval, Ratio	
Representing		
Dimensions	Shape, Location, Color, etc.	
(Perceptual):		
Knowledge Engineering	Ton down/ Bottom un	
Strategy:	Top down/ Bollom up	
Mathada	Hard (e.g. Symbolic rule based), Soft (e.g. Neural	
	networks, Genetic algorithms), perceptual	
Post condition:	Context bound	

Figure 1.	Pre-proc	essing pha	ase adapter	construct
i iguio i.	110 0100	boomig pric	loo uuuptoi	0011011 001

Source: Self made

3.2 Decomposition Phase Adapter

The goals of the decomposition phase adapter are to restrict the context of the input from the environment at the global level and to reduce the complexity and enhance overall reliability of the computer-based artefact. As shown in Figure 2, the input context at the global level is restricted in terms of stakeholder's or user's perception of the task context. The user's task context can be used to restrict the input in terms of different types of users (e.g. medical researcher, and evolutionary biologist in a human genome application), different control models in an optimum control system modelling application, different subsystems in a sales management application. Thus user's task context is captured with help of concepts that are generally orthogonal in nature. These concepts are abstract as do not provide direct solution to the task in hand. The generic task constraints associated with decomposition phase adapter are scalability and reliability. The concepts used to restrict the input context in decomposition phase should be scalable vertically as well as horizontally. One way of satisfying this task constraint is to ensure that the concepts defined in this phase are orthogonal or un-correlated. This will also enhance the reliability and quality of results produced by other phase adapters (e.g. control) that depend on the competency of the decomposition phase adapter.

The qualitative or linguistic features employed in this phase by the users are coarsegrain features. These coarse-grain features may have binary and/or structured values. For example, coarse-grain binary features to partition a global concept like an animal (into mammal, bird) in the animal kingdom domain may be has feathers, gives milk, has hair, etc. On the other hand, structured features like player configuration (with values like 1, 2, 3, 4) may be used in a computer game application. The features representing concepts in this phase can also be numeric or continuous in nature. For example, in an image processing application, like face recognition, orthogonal concepts like skin regions and non-skin regions can be distinguished based on the skin color pixel data.

The psychological scale used by the decomposition phase adapter is the nominal scale. The nominal scale is the lowest psychological scale with formal property category. It is suitable for determining orthogonal concepts represented by binary and structured qualitative features.

The representing dimension of the represented features can be shape, position, color etc. measured on the nominal scale. For example, in a face recognition application, the representing dimension for distinguishing between orthogonal concepts like skin-region and non-skin region is the skin-tone color. In order to accomplish various tasks in this phase, soft or hard computing mechanisms can be used by the decomposition phase adapter, depending upon the knowledge engineering strategy and the task constraints.

Phase:	Decomposition
Goal:	Restrict input context, reduce complexity, enhance reliability
Precondition:	A: Goal preprocessed input data e.g. Symbolic or linguistic, continuous B: Case data available
Tasks:	A: Context validation B: Determine abstract orthogonal concepts C: Concept validation D: Problem formulation
Task Constraints:	Orthogonal, Reliability, Scalability
Domain model:	Structured, Functional, Causal, Geometric, Heuristic, Spatial, Shape, Color, etc.
Represented Features:	<i>Quantitative/Linguistic</i> – Binary, Structured <i>Non - Linguistic</i> – Continuous features
Psychological Scales:	Nominal, Formal Property, category
Representing Dimensions (Perceptual):	Shape, Location, Position, etc. On the nominal scale
Knowledge Engineering Strategy:	Top down/ Bottom up
Methods:	Hard (e.g. Symbolic rule based), Soft (e.g. Neural networks)
Post condition:	Orthogonal abstract classes

Figure 2. Decomposition phase adapter construct

Source: Self made

3.3 Control Phase Adapter

The goal of the control phase adapter is to establish the decision control constructs for the domain based decision classes as identified by stakeholders/users. Decision level classes are those classed inference on which is of importance to a stakeholder/user. These classes or concepts represent the control structure of the problem. These decision-level classes generally explicitly exist in the problem being addressed. They could represent a set of group of network components in a telecommunication network, electric power network problem (e.g. faulty sections), or a set of control actions in a control application, possible face region in a face recognition application, possible behavioral categories in a sales recruitment application, etc.

The granularity of a decision level class may vary between coarse and fine, depending upon the context in which problem is being solved and the decision level priority in a given context. In one context, a decision level class may be less important to a problem solver, and thus a coarse solution may be acceptable. In another context, the same decision level class, however, may assume higher importance and thus a finer solution may be required. That is, if the decision level class priority is low, then its granularity is ^{Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial}

coarse, and the problem solver is satisfied with a coarse decision on that class. Otherwise, if the decision level class priority is high then the decision level class that would involve a number of micro features in the domain space. In case of coarse granularity, distinct control and decision phase adapters (described in the next section) may not be required and can be merged into one.

It is possible that the decisions made by a decision level class may conflict with the decisions by another decision level class. For example, in a telecommunication network diagnostic problem, two decision level classes may represent two sections of a telecommunication network. If these sections predict fault in two different network components (given that only one of them can be actually fault), then there is a conflict. The conflict may be resolved by looking at the structural, functional, spatial indisposition of the decision level classes or their components or even through concept/decision validation (which would involve validation/feedback from the stakeholder/user on the conflicting set decisions).

Phase:	Control
Goal:	Establish domain decision control constructs for orthogonal concepts based on desired outcomes from the system
Precondition:	A. Orthogonal concept definedB. Concept related data available
Tasks:	 A. Determine decision level concepts B. Problem formulation C. Decision level concept validation (optional – for relevance feedback systems) D. Conflict resolution (optional) – form – decision conflicts between decision categories, coordinating in vocation of decision level concepts
Task Constraints:	Scalability, Reliability, Learning, Adaptability, Domain model constraint
Domain Model:	Structured, Functional, Causal, Heuristic, Spatial, etc.
Represented Features:	<i>Quantitative/Linguistic</i> – Binary, Structured. Fuzzy data (optional) <i>Non - Linguistic</i> – Continuous data related to orthogonal concept
Psychological Scales:	Nominal & Ordinal, Interval, Ratio
Representing Dimensions (Perceptual):	Shape, Size, Length, Distance, Density, Location, Position, Color, Texture
Knowledge Engineering Strategy:	Top down/ Bottom up
Methods:	Hard (e.g. Symbolic rule based), Soft (e.g. Neural network, Genetic algorithms), Perceptual
Post condition:	Decision class is defined

i igule 5. Control phase adapter construc	Figure 3.	Control	phase	adapter	construct
---	-----------	---------	-------	---------	-----------

Source: Self made

The represented features involved in this control phase are qualitative/linguistic (binary, structured, fuzzy) and non-linguistic (continuous features). The qualitative or linguistic features include semi-coarse grain binary, structured and fuzzy features.

The granularity of the binary and structured features used by the control phase adapter is finer than those used in the decomposition phase. In the decomposition phase, binary and structured features are used for determination of abstract independent orthogonal concepts at the global level. In the control phase adapter, the binary and structured features are used at the local level within each abstract concept. In addition, the binary and structured features are used many times with fuzzy features in order to identify the decision level concepts in a domain. The fuzzy features are used in the control phase rather than the decomposition because fuzzy features cannot be used to distinguish between abstract orthogonal concepts. For example, let us assume mammal and bird are two abstract concepts in an animal classification domain. Then, the interpretation of a large mammal is not the same as a large bird. That is, the fuzzy variable large qualifying a mammal and bird carry different perceptual as well as conceptual meanings and this cannot be used universally at the global level for discriminating between abstract concepts. Continuous features used by the control phase adapter are limited to an abstract concept determined in the decomposition phase. For example, in a face recognition application, pixel data related to the skin region concept is analyzed.

The psychological scale used by the control phase adapter can be nominal, ordinal, interval and ratio scale. Also, the features used by the control phase adapter can be seen to represent information on the ordinal, interval or ratio scales. The representing dimension of the represented features can be shape, position, color etc. measured on the nominal and/or ordinal, interval and ratio scales. For example, in face recognition application, area and shape of the skin-regions are the representing dimensions of the various face recognition decision classes. Plan to execute the task in this phase can be done in top down or bottom up strategy. If top down strategy is employed, it is assumed that qualitative data is available, whereas, if bottom up strategy is used it is assumed case data is available. The computing mechanisms can be hard (e.g. symbolic) or soft (e.g. neural networks, fuzzy logic, genetic algorithms) depending upon

the task constraints and the knowledge engineering strategy. The control phase adapter construct with its attributes is shown in Figure 3.

3.4 Decision Phase Adapter

The granularity of the decision level class obtained from the control phase adapter is rather coarse grain and heterogeneous in nature. It cannot provide instance solutions to the problem. It is then the responsibility of the Decision Phase Adapter (shown in Figure 4) to provide specific outcomes/ solutions to satisfy the requirement of users/stakeholders. The main task engaged by the decision phase adapter involves determination decision instance. Decision instance or instances represent partly or wholly user defined outcomes from a computer-based artefact. These outcomes are realized within each decision class invoked by the control phase adapter. These outcomes are, for example, specific faulty components in an electronic circuit board, actual faces in a face recognition problem, and a product with desired features in an electronic commerce application, etc.

Phase:	Decision	
Goal:	Provide user/stakeholder defined outcomes from the system	
Precondition:	A. Decision class case dataB. Decision level concepts (optional)	
Tasks:	Determine decision instance e.g. Faulty component(s), control action in control problems	
Task Constraints:	Scalability, Maintainability, Reliability, learning, Generalization, Adaptability, Domain dependent	
Domain Model (optional)	Structured, Functional, Causal, Geometric, Heuristic, Spatial, Shape, Color, etc.	
Represented Features:	Quantitative/Linguistic – Binary, Fine grain fuzzy decision concept data Non - Linguistic – Continuous decision concept data	
Psychological Scales:	Nominal, Ordinal, Interval, Ratio or None	
Representing Dimensions (Perceptual):	Shape, Size, Length, Distance, Density, Location, Position, Orientation, Color, Texture	
Knowledge Engineering Strategy:	Top down/ Bottom up	
Methods:	Hard (e.g. Symbolic rule based), Soft (e.g. Neural network, Fuzzy logic, Genetic algorithms)	
Post condition:	Specific instance of the class is determined	
Source: Self made		

The qualitative or linguistic features employed by the decision phase adapter can be fine grain fuzzy or even binary. For example, in the alarm processing problem two ^{Carrera} de Ingeniería Industrial Facultad de Ingeniería properties of the alarm data are used. First, existence or absence (i.e. binary property) of a circuit breaker alarm in a decision class (candidate faulty section) is determined. Second, fine grain fuzzy contribution value of a circuit breaker alarm and associated relay towards a fault in a particular network component is modeled in terms of their protection proximity to a possible faulty component [10]. This contribution value is determined in terms of activity level of a path (consisting of alarm and relay). The non-linguistic represented features employed can be continuous decision data. For example, in the face recognition problem, color pixel data related to a face candidate and spatial coordinates of facial features like eyes, mount and nose are used to identify actual faces and track eye movements in the decision phase.

The nominal scale can be used to measure binary features like existence or nonexistence of an alarm, whereas fine grain fuzzy features can be measured on the ordinal, interval or ratio scales depending on the scale properties by the fuzzy features. For example, in an animal classification (more specifically, tiger classification) domain, some of the scale properties of fuzzy features heavy cheek hair are category (cheek hair), magnitude (heavy > light) and absolute zero (no cheek hair). These properties represent the ratio scale.

The computing mechanisms can also be hard (symbolic), soft (e.g. neural network, fuzzy logic, and genetic algorithm) or other statistical/mathematical algorithms. Broadly, hard symbolic computing mechanisms like rule based systems can be used for high level tasks like problem formulation and noise filtering subject to availability of qualitative domain knowledge for the task. On the other hand, soft computing mechanisms can be for task decision instance task, which may involve pattern recognition, learning, generalization and adaptability. As a consequence of satisfying task constraints like learning, generalization and adaptability, optimization may be another constraint that may need to be satisfied. Genetic algorithms are ideal for satisfying the optimizing learning and generalization characteristics of soft computing mechanisms like neural networks.

3.5 Post-processing Phase Adapter

The goal of the Post-processing Phase Adapter is to explain and validate the outcomes made by the decision phase adapter. The validation task may involve decision instance result validation (subjected to task constraints like provability and reliability). For example, in a face recognition application, the actual faces and facial movements as determined by the decision phase adapter need to be validated by the user. In a control system application, feedback from the environment established whether the selected/executed control action has produced the desired results. In an alarm processing application, the operator may instruct the system or computer based artefact to explain how certain components in the network have been identified as faulty.

Phase:	Post processing	
Goal:	Establish outcomes as desired outcomes, Satisfy user/stakeholder	
Precondition:	Decision instance result data and/or model	
Tasks:	A. Context validationB. Decision instance result validationC. Decision instance result explanation	
Task Constraints:	Provability, Reliability	
Represented Features:	Quantitative/Linguistic – Binary, Fine grain fuzzy Non - Linguistic – Continuous	
Psychological Scales:	Nominal, Ordinal, Interval, Ratio or None	
Representing Dimensions (Perceptual):	Shape, Size, Length, Distance, Density, Location, Position, Orientation, Color, Texture	
Knowledge Engineering Strategy:	Top down/ Bottom up	
Methods:	Hard (e.g. Symbolic rule based), Soft (e.g. Neural network, Fuzzy logic, Genetic algorithms)	
Source: Solf made		

Figure 5. Post-processing phase adapter construct

Source: Self made

The validation task can be accomplished by perceptual and/or hard/soft computing mechanisms. In a real time alarm processing application, for example, a power system control center operator may validate a decision made in the decision phase by using graphic display of the power network and by querying the system on the fault model of the faulty component. In fact, post-processing phase represents logic and provability of our conscious reactions to the external environment. In problems where logical and provable models of the various problem components exist, the post-processing phase adapter can be performed without need of any feedback from its environment to

accomplish the task. However, in problems where logical and provable models of the various problem components do not exist or are too complex to be built, it is necessary to have feedback from its environment. This can be done with the help of the human agent/user or other external agent especially to validate the decision made in the decision level. The overall features and attributes of the post-processing phase adapter construct are shown in Figure 5.

4. An Application Example

The TPSAs have been applied to develop the Order Monitoring System (OMS) for an electrical manufacturing company to help marketing engineers to keep track the different stages of an order like indent preparation, costing, reservation, production status, etc. The motivation for order monitoring is based on the coordination problems between marketing engineers and manufacturing and consequential delay in shipment and loss of future orders from customers. The OMS is designed to better monitor and identifying the bottlenecks in manufacturing and delivery of customer orders.

Figure 6. A sample showing the indent preparation control phase adapter

Phase:	Indent preparation control
Goal:	 A. Monitor indent preparation of Capacitor, Motor, Transformer, Lighting B. Monitor changes in indept
Precondition:	A. Order processing gent initialized B. Product catalog & related Costumer order loaded
Tasks:	 A. Check prices B. Check indents completing C. Indent dispatch D. Conflict resolution Rules for determining mismatch between order specifications and technical drawings Rule for mismatch between customer's credit rating and payment schedule
Task constraints:	 A. Products need to be classified according to Manufacturing division format B. Indent needs to be prepared as for Manufacturing division format C. Scalability – new products can be added
Domain Model	Structural model of manufacturing divisions
Represented Features:	Preparing indents based on type of structural data (e.g. 66KV, 220KV, 400KV Distribution Transformer)
Psychological Scales:	Ordinal (e.g. different products)
Representing Dimensions (Perceptual):	Size (e.g. different products)
Knowledge Engineering Strategy:	Top down

Construct of the OMS

Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial Facultad de Ingeniería Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

Methods:	Symbolic rule based
Post condition:	Indent for each product category (e.g. motor, transformer, etc.) fulfilled
	Source: Self-made, OMS

The task context in which the stakeholders of the OMS (e.g. marketing manager and marketing engineers) solve their problems are identified and captured in a generic form using the five phase adapters. Figure 6 shows a sample of problem solving adapter in control phase. These problem-solving adapters have been realized on software model through the integration with software modelling paradigms of agent and object. Agents are used to model various tasks associated with the adapters. The features of agent-orientation like percepts, goals/tasks, reactive, collaboration, etc. facilitate each problem-solving agent to achieve its goals/tasks. Objects and classes are used to structure the represented features used by problem solving agents.

In the context of OMS, for example, the goals of Indent preparation agent are: a) to monitor the preparation of Capacitor, Motor, Transformer indents, b) to monitor changes in the indents, c) to coordinates the information with indents. To accomplish such goals, the indent preparation agent needs to engage a number of sequences including establishing communication constructs with other agents and objects like Capacitors indent agent, Motor indent agent, Transformer indent agent, and Order object, in forms of request, inform, and command. The messages are flown through interface channel and sensed by the indent preparation agent which in turn mapping this percepts into a series of actions such as identifying product/article, checking order type, and checking indents. These sorts of actions establish a clear relationship between tasks of the indent preparation agent and services offered by linked to this agent in OMS object structure. These objects are Customer, Order, Product technical document, and Indent form, Product catalog.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we have proposed TPSAs for modelling user's or practitioner's task, domain and representation vocabulary in a specific domain. Various perspectives related to problem solving and artificial intelligent like neurobiological perspective, expert systems perspective, physical systems perspective, form of knowledge perspective, symbolic perspective, artificial neural networks perspective, learning ^{Carrera de Ingeniería Industrial} Facultad de Ingeniería

Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

perspective, and user perspective, have been integrated to the TPSAs. The characteristics of the TPSAs are reflected in software model by employing the technological artefact of objects and agents. TPSAs consist of five different phase adapters, namely, pre-processing phase adapter, decomposition phase adapter, control phase adapter, decision phase adapter, and post-processing phase adapter. We have outlined an example of the application of the TPSAs in Sales and Marketing. We are currently looking for applying our TPSAs approach to develop more intelligent-based applications for decision support as well as strategic business activities.

References

- Chandrasekaran, B., Johnson, T. R. and Smith, J. W. (1992). Task-Structure Analysis for Knowledge Modeling. Communications of the ACM, vol. 35, pp. 124-136.
- 2. Steels, L. (1990). "Components of Expertise," Al Magazine, vol. 11, pp. 28-49.
- 3. Schreiber, A. T., Wielinga, B. J. and Breuker, J. A. (1993). "KADS: A Principle Approach to Knowledge-Based System Development," London: Academic Press.
- Schreiber, G., Wielinga, B., de Hoog, R., Akkermans, H. and de Velde, W. V. (1994).
 "CommonKADS: A Comprehensive Methodology for KBS Development," IEEE Expert, pp. 28-37.
- Angele, J., Fensel, D., Landes, D. and Studer, R. (1998). "Developing Knowledge-Based Systems with MIKE," Journal of Automated Software Engineering, vol. 5, pp. 389-418.
- Fensel, D. and Groenboom, R. (Current 10 May 2004) "A Software Architecture for Knowledge-Based Systems," http://www.cs.vu.nl/~dieter/publications99.
- 7. Gamma, E., Helm, R., Johnson, R. and Vlissides, J. (1995). Design Patterns: Addison-Wesley Publication.
- Fensel, D. and Groenboom, R. (1997). "Specifying Knowledge-Based Systems with Reusable Components," in Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Software Engineering & Knowledge Engineering (SEKE-97), Madrid, Spain.
- 9. Zhang, J. and Norman, D. A. (1994) "Representations in Distributed Cognitive Tasks," Cognitive Science, vol. 18, pp. 87-112.

- 10. Khosla, R. and Dillon, T., Engineering Intelligent Hybrid Multi-Agent Systems Norwell, MA 02061, USA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- 11.Khosla, R. and Dillon, T. (1994) "Cognitive and Computational Foundations of Symbolic-Connectionist Integration," in ECAI'94 Workshop Proceedings on Symbolic-Connectionist Processing, Netherlands, Holland.
- 12. Khosla, R. and Dillon, T. (1993). Perspectives for Integration of Artificial Neural Networks and Expert Systems. (pp. 624-628.). IEEE Australia-New Zealand Conference on Information Systems, Perth, Australia
- Chandrasekaran, B., Josephson, J. R. and Benjamins, V. R., "Ontology of Tasks and Methods," Recuperated on May 10, 2004 de http://www.cis.ohiostate.edu/~chandra/pub.

CARRERA ACREDITADA AL SISTEMA ARCU-SUR, DEL MERCOSUR EDUCATIVO



LA COMISIÓN NACIONAL DE ACREDITACIÓN DE CARRERAS UNIVERSITARIAS En sujeción y al amparo de la Ley Nº 070 de la Educación "Avelino Siñani - Elizardo Pérez" del 20 de diciembre de 2010



con sede académica en la ciudad de <u>LA PAZ</u>, ha cumplido los criterios establecidos para la

ACREDITACIÓN

al Sistema ARCU - SUR, del MERCOSUR EDUCATIVO

Este reconocimiento de la Calidad Académica tiene alcance Regional en el MERCOSUR, con validez de un periodo de seis (6) años.

La Paz, septiembre de 2019

Sters Lic. Eduardo Cortez Baldivia lente de la Comisión Naciona rto Bohórque e la Ce as Unit

INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL INGENIERÍA EN DISEÑO INDUSTRIAL INGENIERÍA EN PRODUCCIÓN INDUSTRIAL INGENIERÍA EN SEGURIDAD INDUSTRIAL Y SALUD OCUPACIONAL PROGRAMA ACADÉMICO DESCONCENTRADO INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL AMAZÓNICA-SAN BUENAVENURA PROGRAMA ACADÉMICO DESCONCENTRADO INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL AMAZÓNICA-CARANAVI















CARRERA DE INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL TODOS LOS DERECHOS RESERVADOS - 2021 LA PAZ - BOLIVIA

Mcal. Santa Cruz Nº 1175, Plaza del Obelisco Mezzanine, Edificio Facultad de Ingeniería Teléfonos 2205000 - 2205067 int. 1402 WebSite: industrial.umsa.bo Email: ingeindustrial@umsa.bo ingeindustrialumsa@gmail.com Ciudad de La Paz - Bolivia